

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/921,782

Applicant(s)

Holch et al.

Examiner

J. Harrison

Art Unit

3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) J. Harrison(3) Ms. Esther Lim(2) Ms. Erika Arner

(4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 26, 2002Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: general claim direction

Identification of prior art discussed:

noneAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussion prior to first office action in this continuing application regarding direction of claims and possible addition of third preliminary amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

J. HARRISON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3714

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required